

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCH United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/761,144	01/16/2001	Shantnu Sharma	FJFNX-177XX	3416	
207	7590 04/14/2004	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, BRIAN D	
	ST OFFICE SQUARE J. MA 02109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2661		
	•		DATE MAIL ED. 04/14/200	. /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/761,144	SHARMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian D Nguyen	2661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication eriod for Reply		rith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the new partner of the set of the	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
tatus					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	16 January 2001.				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
isposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,12 and 13 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	ndrawn from consideration.	•			
pplication Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exart 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 January 2001 is. Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	dare: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ o the drawing(s) be held in abeya prrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		· • <u>• ·</u>			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
ttachment(s)	" □	0. (0.70 4.77)			
ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	Paper No.	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Nu. Jer: 09/761,144

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cao et al (2002/0181485).

Regarding claims 6 and 9, Cao discloses a method of operating system, the communication system including a plurality of nodes interconnected by a plurality of paths to forma a communication network (see figure 1), the method comprising detecting at least one change (path failure) in the topology of the communication network (see abstract; figure 1; paragraph 0023). Cao does not specifically disclose determining whether a removed node (fail node) comprises an ingress or an egress node of the first communication path and in the even the removed node comprising the ingress node or the egress node of the first communication path, tearing down a data transmission channel along the first communication path between the ingress and the egress; and in the event the removed node does not comprises the ingress or the egress node of the first communication path, maintaining the data transmission channel along the first communication path between the ingress node and the egress node. However, Cao teaches that the ingress node and the egress are responsible for establishing and maintaining communication paths between the ingress and the egress nodes (see paragraph 0023). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in

Application/Control Number: 09/761,144

Art Unit: 2661

the art at the time the invention was made to tearing down the transmission channel along the first communication path if the removed node comprises the ingress or egress node; and maintaining the data channel along the first communication path between the ingress node and the egress node if the removed node does not comprises the ingress or the egress node because when an ingress node or an egress node is removed a new path must be setup. In other words, the transmission channel along the first path is tearing down and when a removed node is an intermediate node, the ingress and the egress just switch to another established comunication path.

Regarding claims 7 and 10, Cao discloses a multiple protocol label switching (see paragraph 0022).

Regarding claims 8 and 11, Cao discloses a label switching forwarding table (see paragraph 0023).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-5 and 12-13 are allowed.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian D Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-5133. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-6:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Olms can be reached on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/761,144

Art Unit: 2661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian Nguyen

4/12/04